

MARK E. CHADWICK \* MICHAEL S. GREEN KATHLEEN DELANEY WINGER **EVELYN PATRICK BOSS \*\*** LAURA P. CHIASSON

\* Also Admitted in Colorado

\*\* Also Admitted in Washington State

MICHAEL M. RACY (NON-LAWYER) GOVERNMENT RELATIONS DIRECTOR DIRECT LINE: (520) 906-4646

MEREDITH LEYVA (NON-LAWYER) PUBLIC RELATIONS MANAGEMENT

November 20, 2002

MUNGER CHADWICK, P. ATTORNEYS AT LAW ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN: A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

ARIZONA, COLORADO, MONTANA, NEVADA, TEXAS, WYOMING, NATIONAL BANK PLAZA DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 32002 NOV 20 P I: 00 (520) 721-1900

OF COUNSEL MungerChadwick.com AZ CORP COMMWREER LA SOTA AND PETERS, P.L.C. FAX (520) 747-1550 PHOENIX, ARIZONA DOCUMENT CONTROL

PHOENIX APPOINTMENT ADDRESS: 5225 N. CENTRAL SUITE 235 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012-1452 (602) 230-1850

OF COUNSEL LIZÁRRAGA, ROBLES, TAPIA Y CABRERA S.C. HERMOSILLO, SONORA, MEXICO (LICENSED SOLELY IN MEXICO)

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

NOV 2 0 2002

Colleen Ryan, Supervisor **Document Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

DOCKETED BY

Re:

Docket Nos. E-00000A-02-0051; E-01345A-01-0822; E-00000A-01-0630; E-

01933A-02-0069

Laurens V. Rasal

In the matter of Application of Tucson Electric Co., et. al

Dear Ms. Ryan:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned proceeding are the original and nineteen (19) copies of the Summary of the Testimony of E. Douglas Mitchell on Behalf of Sempra Energy Resources and an original and nineteen (19) copies of the Notice of Filing of Testimony. Also enclosed are two additional copies of each document to be conformed and returned to our office.

Please let me know if you have any questions, and thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.

LVR:cl enclosures

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

According to Staff's October 25, 2002 Report on Track B: Competitive Solicitation, and the Commission's Order No. 65154, the amount of capacity acquired through competitive solicitation should be a minimum of each IOU's forecasted unmet need for at least the next three years. Sound resource planning suggests that the maximum level of capacity acquired should be limited only by economic considerations. In other words, the utilities should expand their proposed solicitations to include the competitive procurement of energy when it is available on the open market at a price lower than the utility's cost to generate its own power. There are many indicators that suggest now is a particularly good time for a competitive solicitation, from the perspective of consumers, and the solicitation should be designed and implemented in such a way as to maximize the consumer benefits.

Staff's proposal for bid evaluation, however, does not go far enough in specifying what is needed to produce optimum results. The one and only way to determine the value of an offer is to evaluate it within the context of the fully integrated generation operating system. includes the dual considerations of: (1) providing reliable power, and (2) achieving the lowest cost possible. Evaluating these dual considerations also requires a solicitation for more than just the three types of products specified by Arizona Public Service. It also includes an analysis of price risk and volatility risk. Modifying the evaluation process to produce optimum results would require a longer time (approximately six weeks total) for implementation of the solicitation than the proposed schedule allows.

Over-reliance on economy energy purchases to meet energy demand seems a particularly bad idea, especially when so much new generation will be available to sell into Arizona markets in the next few years.

	1	Respectfully submitted this day of November, 2002.
	2	
	3	MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.
(520) 721-1900	4	By: Lawrence V. Roberthy, Ir
	5	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
	6	Attorneys for Sempra Energy Resources
	7	and
	8	Theodore E. Roberts
	9	Sempra Energy Resources 101 Ash Street, HQ 12-B
	10	San Diego, California 92101-3017
	11	Attorneys for Sempra Energy Resources
	12	
	13	
	14	Copy of the Summary of the Testimony of E. Douglas Mitchell was filed
	15	this 204—day of November, 2002 with:
	16	Docket Control
	17	Arizona Corporation Commission
	18	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
		<b>→</b>
	19	COPY delivered via email this Multiple day of November, 2002 to:
	20	
	21	Lyn Farmer Chief Administrative Law Judge
	22	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
	23	1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona
	24	Chris Kempley
	25	Chief Counsel
	26	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona
	27	
	28	
		IT

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
NATIONAL BANK PLAZA
333 NORTH WILMOT, SUITE 300
TUCSON, ARIZONA 85711

27

28

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

27

28